

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,059	10/06/2005	Kevin Jeffrey Kittle	13877/14601	2722	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 02/28/2007 XENYON LLP		EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD	WAY	PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN			
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Summary		10/534,059	KITTLE ET AL.	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Frederick J. Parker	1762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	)SS
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatior operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rown.  Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊟ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final.  wance except for formal matt	• •	erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 49-95 and 97 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 49-67 and 72-78 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 68-71,79-95,97 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subjected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the sheet of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be oath or declar	drawn from consideration.  Ind/or election requirement.  Indicate the discrete of the drawing (s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing (s).	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	` '
Priority i	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age
2)  Notic 3)  Infon	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The amendments in response to the objections to the title of the Previous Office Action are acknowledged and appreciated, and the Examiner withdraws the objections.

#### Claim Objections

The amendments in response to the Claim Objections of the Previous Office Action are acknowledged and appreciated, and the Examiner withdraws the objections.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The amendments in response to the 35 USC 112 rejections of the Previous Office Action are acknowledged and appreciated, and the Examiner withdraws the rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §102/103

The cancellation of claim 96 renders this rejection moot.

#### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,059

Art Unit: 1762

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 49,72-78 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,7-12 of copending Application No. 10/479,722. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims recite a non- or poorly-conductive substrate whereas the substrate of '722 is conductive; the process steps are otherwise the same and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the electrostatic fluidized bed process would have been capable of applying at least some coating powder to any substrate regardless of conductivity.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 49-67 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 29-42,44,45,47,48 of copending Application No. 10/534113. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because 1) both sets of the claims require non- or poorly-conductive substrates, and 2) the instant application applied a voltage to the chamber whereas the claim of '113 applies it to the bed via an electrode "positioned to influence the extent" of charging which could be on the chamber or nearby, which would be an obvious variation because of the expectation of comparable results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,059

Art Unit: 1762

4. Claims not rejected under the DP rejections are objected to for depending from a rejected base claim. Claimed subject matter is allowable for reasons cited in the previous Office action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick J. Parker whose telephone number is 571/272-1426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:15am -3:45pm, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571/272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Frederick J. Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

fjp